

management plan of 1988 will be amended, the first general management plan for Mojave National Preserve will be prepared, and management decisions for BLM wilderness areas will amend the California Desert Conservation Area Plan of 1980.

The principal issues proposed to be resolved through this planning effort include the following topics: Access to public lands; infrastructure necessary for managing these areas; habitat management, including the habitat of the threatened desert tortoise and other sensitive species; wilderness management; management of wild horses, burros, and alien/exotic plant and animal species; proposed expansion of Fort Irwin; visitor information facilities; recreational opportunities; mining activities and utility corridors.

The project will be conducted by an interagency, multidisciplinary planning team with offices in Barstow, California. Federal and state government agencies, as well as county and city officials, will be invited to consult with the team throughout the project process. Interested individuals and organizations will be able to participate during the planning process through a series of public meetings and review periods. The expected three year planning effort will commence immediately.

The public will be invited to workshops and open houses at three different stages of the project—scoping/ issues identification, development of alternatives, and review of the draft and final documents. The first set of workshops, intended to listen to and record public input on the planning issues, will be held in late September, 1995, in Las Vegas, Nevada and in Baker, Barstow, Furnace Creek, Independence, Lone Pine, Needles, Pasadena, Ridgecrest, and San Bernardino in California. The team will also welcome any written comments during this scoping phase.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Requests for a map of the Northern and Eastern Mojave planning area, as well as comments and inquires about this project, should be directed to: Mr. Dennis Schramm, Planning Team Leader, National Park Service, Mojave National Preserve, 222 East Main Street, Suite 202, Barstow, CA 92311 [(619)255-8840].

Dated: August 22, 1995.

**Stephen G. Crabtree,**

*Acting Field Director, Pacific West Field Area.*  
[FR Doc. 95-21965 Filed 9-1-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-70-P

## Bureau of Reclamation

[FES 95-30]

### Josephine County Water Management Improvement, Fish Passage Improvements, Savage Rapids Dam, OR

**AGENCY:** Bureau of Reclamation, Interior.

**ACTION:** Notice of availability of planning report/final environmental statement.

**SUMMARY:** Pursuant to the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969, as amended, and the Reclamation Act of 1902, as amended, the Bureau of Reclamation has prepared a planning report/final environmental statement (PR/FES) on a proposed project to improve fish passage at Savage Rapids Dam located on the Rogue River in southwest Oregon near the city of Grants Pass. The report presents an evaluation of two alternatives for improving fish passage and reducing loss of salmon and steelhead.

**ADDRESSES:** Copies of the PR/FES may be requested from the following:

- Regional Director, Bureau of Reclamation, Attention: PN-6309, Pacific Northwest Region, 1150 North Curtis Road, Boise, ID 83706-1234. Telephone (208) 378-5087.
- Secretary/Manager, Grants Pass Irrigation District, 200 Fruitdale Drive, Grants Pass OR 97527-5268. Telephone (503) 476-2582.
- Area Manager, Bureau of Reclamation, Lower Columbia Area Office, 1503 NE 78th, Suite 15, Vancouver, WA 98664. Telephone (206) 576-8858.

Copies of the PR/FES are available for inspection and review at the following locations:

- Josephine County Public Library, Grants Pass, Oregon.
- Medford Public Library, Medford, Oregon.
- Rogue River Public Library, Rogue River, Oregon.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The federally preferred plan proposes replacement of existing pumping and diversion facilities with two electrically-powered pumping plants, removal of the dam and appurtenant structures, and forgiveness of the remaining debt to the Federal Government. No significant changes have been made to the preferred plan as presented in the Bureau of Reclamation's planning report/draft environmental statement (DES 94-51).

The PR/FES presents the preferred plan, an alternative plan that retains the

dam, and the no Federal action plan and describes the existing environment and environmental consequences of plan implementation. Comments received during the 90-day review of the PR/DES and Reclamation's responses are documented. It is Reclamation's intention to defer further action or recommendations until State of Oregon initiatives and recommendations regarding Savage Rapids Dam are completed. We anticipate that those questions will be resolved in mid-1996.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Bureau of Reclamation, Pacific Northwest Region, Attention: PN-6309, 1150 North Curtis Road, Boise, Idaho 83706-1234. Telephone (208) 378-5087.

Dated: August 18, 1995.

**John W. Keys, III,**

*Regional Director, Pacific Northwest Region.*  
[FR Doc. 95-21903 Filed 9-1-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-95-M

## INTERSTATE COMMERCE COMMISSION

### Agency Information Collection Under OMB Review

The following proposal for collection of information under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35) is being submitted to the Office of Management and Budget for review and approval. Copies of the form and supporting documents may be obtained from the Agency Clearance Officer, Ellen R. Keys, (202) 927-5673. Comments regarding this information collection should be addressed to Ellen R. Keys, Interstate Commerce Commission, 1201 Constitution Avenue, NW., Room 2209, Washington, DC 20423-0001 and to the Office of Management and Budget, Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Attn: Desk Officer for ICC, Washington, DC 20503. When submitting comments, refer to the OMB number or the title of the form.

*Type of Clearance: Extension of the expiration date of a currently approved collection without any change in the substance or in the method of collection.*

*Office: Office of Compliance and Enforcement.*

*Title of Form: Owner-operator Annual Report Form.*

*OMB Form Number: 3120-0061.*

*Agency Form Number: OCCA-143.*

*No. of Respondents: 1,045.*

*Total Burden Hours: 52.25 (estimated 3 minutes per response).*

**Vernon A. Williams,**

*Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 95-21915 Filed 9-1-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7035-01-P

**[Ex Parte No. 388 (Sub-No. 26)]**

**Intrastate Rail Rate Authority—Oklahoma**

**AGENCY:** Interstate Commerce Commission.

**ACTION:** Extension of certification.

**SUMMARY:** By decision served August 30, 1990, the State of Oklahoma, through the Oklahoma Corporation Commission, was certified to regulate intrastate rail rates, classifications, rules, and practices for the 5-year period ending August 30, 1995. Pursuant to a request from Oklahoma, the Commission extends certification for an additional 60 days so that Oklahoma can complete an application for recertification in compliance with *State Intrastate Rail Rate Authority*, 5 I.C.C.2d 680 (1989).

**DATES:** Oklahoma's certification is extended to October 30, 1995.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Elaine Sehrt-Green, (202) 927-5269 or Beryl Gordon, (202) 927-5610. [TDD for the hearing impaired: (202) 927-5721.]

Decided: August 29, 1995.

By the Commission, David M. Konschnik, Director, Office of Proceedings.

**Vernon A. Williams,**

*Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 95-21913 Filed 9-1-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7035-01-P

**[Finance Docket No. 32756]**

**Union Pacific Railroad Company and Burlington Northern Railroad Company—Joint Relocation Project Exemption—in Fort Collins, CO**

On August 2, 1995, Union Pacific Railroad Company (UP) and Burlington Northern Railroad Company (BN) jointly filed a notice of exemption under 49 CFR 1180.2(d)(5) to relocate a line of railroad over the BN in Fort Collins, Larimer County, CO. The proposed transaction was expected to be consummated on, or soon after August 9, 1995.

UP and BN are class I rail carriers that own and operate separate lines of railroad in and near the City of Fort Collins, CO. UP's tracks are located on its line of railroad known as the Fort Collins Branch which extends from LaSalle, CO westward to Fort Collins.

Also, LaSalle at milepost 47.2, is a station on UP's mainline known as the Greeley Branch, which is generally a north-south route.

The rail lines located at Fort Collins between Mulberry Street and Lemay Avenue, are the subject of this joint relocation project. Both BN and UP have rail lines that extend past Mulberry Street to other points in Fort Collins and past Lemay Avenue, the BN line extending to Greeley, CO and the UP line extending to LaSalle.

Under the joint relocation project, UP and BN propose the following transactions: (1) BN will grant UP overhead trackage rights over BN's track between BN mileposts 75.48, near Mulberry Street, and BN milepost 76.04, near Lemay Avenue, a distance of 0.56 mile at Fort Collins; (2) UP's incidental abandonment and discontinuance of operation over a segment of UP's Fort Collins branch line between milepost 31.23, near Mulberry Street, and milepost 30.66, near Lemay Avenue, at Fort Collins, a distance of approximately 0.57 mile; and (3) the incidental construction of connecting tracks between existing UP and BN rail lines. One of the connections is located near Mulberry Street and the other connection near Lemay Avenue. The portion of the track to be constructed is located on the respective parties' property. The notice states that construction of the connector tracks are an important part of the relocation in order for UP to operate the trackage rights over BN.

The line relocation project is to improve operational and maintenance practices for UP and BN, and will benefit the City of Fort Collins. UP's two road crossings at the major streets of Mulberry Street and Lemay Avenue will be eliminated.

UP and BN state that there are no UP rail stations on the segment of the line in the incidental abandonment, no new traffic will be generated by the proposed transaction and there will be no extension of rail service into new territory. The notice also states that there will be no adverse effect on shippers and no impact or change in the competitive situation of rail carriers in the area.

The Commission will exercise jurisdiction over the abandonment or construction components of a relocation project, and require separate approval or exemption, only where the removal of track affects service to shippers or the construction of new track involves expansion into new territory. See *City of Detroit v. Canadian National Ry. Co., et al.*, 9 I.C.C.2d 1208 (1993). The Commission has determined that line

relocation projects may embrace trackage rights transactions such as the one involved here. See *D.T.&I.R.—Trackage Rights*, 363 I.C.C. 878 (1981). Under these standards, the embraced incidental abandonment, construction, and trackage rights components require no separate approval or exemption when the relocation project, as here, will not disrupt service to shippers and thus qualifies for the class exemption at 49 CFR 1180.2(d)(5).

As a condition to the use of this exemption, any employees affected by the trackage rights agreement will be protected by the conditions imposed in *Norfolk and Western Ry. Co.—Trackage Rights—BN*, 354 I.C.C. 605 (1978), as modified in *Mendocino Coast Ry., Inc.—Lease and Operate*, 360 I.C.C. 653 (1980).

Petitions to revoke the exemption under 49 U.S.C. 10505(d) may be filed at any time. The filing of a petition to revoke will not stay the transaction. Pleadings must be filed with the Commission and served on: Joseph D. Anthofer, 1416 Dodge Street, Room 830, Omaha, NE 68179.

Decided: August 28, 1995.

By the Commission, David M. Konschnik, Director, Office of Proceedings.

**Vernon A. Williams,**

*Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 95-21914 Filed 9-1-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7035-01-P

**NATIONAL COMMUNICATIONS SYSTEM**

**Industry Executive Subcommittee of the National Security Telecommunications Advisory Committee**

**AGENCY:** National Communications Systems (NCS), Joint Secretariat.

**ACTION:** Notice of meeting.

**SUMMARY:** A meeting of the Industry Executive Subcommittee of the National Security Telecommunications Advisory Committee will be held on Friday, September 22, 1995, from 9 a.m. to 3 p.m. The meeting will be held at the Mitre-Hayes Building, 7525 Colshire Dr., McLean VA 27006. The agenda is as follows:

- A. Call to Order/Welcoming Remarks.
- B. National Information Infrastructure Task Force.
- C. Wireless Services Task Force.
- D. Legislative & Regulatory Working Group.
- E. Information Assurance Task Force.
- F. Network Security Group.

Due to the requirement to discuss classified information, in conjunction